

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
27 December 2002 (27.12.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 02/103107 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **D06M 23/16**,
15/277, 13/292, B32B 5/26, A61B 19/08, A41D 13/008,
13/12

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US02/11102

(22) International Filing Date: 4 April 2002 (04.04.2002)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/298,745 16 June 2001 (16.06.2001) US
10/007,375 5 December 2001 (05.12.2001) US

(71) Applicant: **KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC.** [US/US]; 401 N. Lake Street, Neenah, WI 54956 (US).

(72) Inventors: **SNOWDEN, Hue, Scott**; 327 Scarlett Lane, Woodstock, GA 30188 (US). **POWERS, Michael, David**;

4395 Hickory Point, Canton, GA 30115 (US). **FITTING, Steven, Wayne**; 1010 Summer Place, Acworth, GA 30102 (US). **SHARMA, Varunesh**; 515 Pearl Cove Court, Atlanta, GA 30350-2968 (US). **JAMESON, Lee, Kirby**; 115 Olive Street, Roswell, GA 30075 (US).


(74) Agents: **HERRICK, William, D.** et al.; **KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC.**, 401 N. Lake Street, Neenah, WI 54956 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: TREATED NONWOVEN FABRICS



(57) Abstract: Described is an improved two-step process for treating lightweight nonwovens to impart single-sided anti-static and alcohol repellency properties while maintaining good barrier characteristics as measured by hydrostatic head values. The resulting nonwovens find particular uses as infection control product medical fabrics for sterilization wrap and surgical gowns, for example.

WO 02/103107 A1



(BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG)

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii)) for the following designations AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent

- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for all designations
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for all designations

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

TREATED NONWOVEN FABRICS

This application claims priority from US Provisional Application no. 60/298,745 filed
5 June 16, 2001 incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to treatment of nonwoven fabrics to impart desired
10 properties. In particular, the invention provides relatively lightweight nonwoven fabrics with
unique properties on opposing surfaces obtained by separate steps in a highly efficient and
effective process. The invention also relates to resulting nonwovens having, for example,
one surface that is alcohol repellent and the other that has antistatic properties suitable for
use in the manufacture of infection control medical products including surgical gowns and
15 sterilization wrap. Such nonwoven fabrics may also have excellent barrier properties as
measured by hydrostatic head.

The manufacture of nonwoven fabrics for diverse applications has become a highly
developed technology. Well known methods include spunbonding, meltblowing, carding,
airlaying, and others. It is not always possible, however, to produce by these methods a
20 nonwoven fabric having all desired attributes for a given application. As a result, it is often
necessary to treat nonwoven fabrics by various means to impart such properties. For
example, for medical applications such as surgeon's gowns, barrier to alcohol and blood
penetration and bacteria is essential, and antistatic properties are very important.
Unfortunately, treatments for barrier properties using fluorocarbons, for example, and
25 treatments for antistatic properties using salts are detrimental to each other which makes it
necessary to apply excessive amounts of one or both of the treatments. US patent
5,178,931 addresses this concern by providing separately treated layers in a multi-ply
construction. For lightweight fabrics or single component fabrics this is not always a
satisfactory solution to efficiently and effectively providing a two

sided nonwoven. European patent 0 546 580 B1 describes a printing process for treating one side only of a hydrophobic nonwoven with a wetting agent resulting in a two sided fabric with hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties. There remains a need, however, particularly for lightweight nonwovens, for improved means and methods for imparting two-sided properties of alcohol repellency and/or antistatic characteristics while maintaining hydrostatic head, all with reduced treatment chemical requirements.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

10 The present invention addresses the difficulties and problems discussed above by providing a two-step treatment process for treating lightweight nonwovens and preserving desired properties of alcohol repellency on one side and/or antistatic characteristics on the other side. The resulting treated nonwoven also has good hydrohead properties. In one embodiment the process involves a saturation treatment for alcohol repellency using a minimum treatment amount followed by a single side spraying of a light amount of antistatic treatment composition. In a second embodiment each side is treated separately with printing forming light spray applications using a minimum of treatment composition resulting in imparting alcohol repellency and/or antistatic characteristics primarily to the respective treated sides only. Other application means are also contemplated. In these preferred 20 embodiments the nonwoven has a basis weight in the range of from about 17 gsm to about 135 gsm and ideally for many applications, in the range of from about 34 to about 88 gsm. The alcohol repellency treatment will generally add only about 0.05 gsm to about 0.41 gsm to the fabric weight and ideally for many applications, within the range of from about .10 gsm to about 0.26 gsm. Similarly, the antistatic treatment composition will add only about 0.017 25 gsm to about 1.08 gsm to the fabric weight and ideally for many applications, within the range of from about 0.068 gsm to about 0.44 gsm. Despite these low add-on amounts, the

treated nonwoven will have antistatic properties of less than about 0.50 sec by static decay test (described below) and ideally for many applications less than about 0.05 sec and will also have alcohol repellency of at least 3 to about 70% isopropyl alcohol and ideally for many applications, at least about 3 at 80% isopropyl alcohol. The treated nonwoven will
5 also have a hydrohead of at least about 50 mB and ideally for many applications, at least about 70 mB. The resulting nonwoven is suited for use particularly as infection control products like a medical fabric especially when starting with a spunbond, meltblown or spunbond/meltblown laminate of polymers selected from thermoplastic polymers including polyolefins such as polypropylene, polyethylene as well as copolymers including propylene
10 or ethylene monomer units.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a schematic of one treatment process embodiment of the present
15 invention using a saturation treatment step followed by a spray treatment step.

Figure 2 is a schematic of a second treatment process embodiment of the present invention using a foam applicator instead of a spray treatment step.

Figure 3 is a schematic of the second step of the process of the invention using ink jet treating.

20 Figure 4 is a schematic of a third treatment embodiment of the present invention applying antistat and repellent treatments to opposite sides.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**Test Procedures**

Hydrohead: A measure of the liquid barrier properties of a fabric is the hydrohead test. The hydrohead test determines the height of water (in centimeters) which the fabric will support before a predetermined amount of liquid passes through. A fabric with a higher hydrohead reading indicates it has a greater barrier to liquid penetration than a fabric with a lower hydrohead. The hydrohead test is performed according to Federal Test Standard 191A, Method 5514.

Alcohol: Alcohol repellency was tested according to the test procedure described as follows. In this test, a fabric's resistance to penetration by low surface energy fluids is determined by placing 0.1ml of a specified percentage of isopropyl alcohol (IPA) solution on the surface of the fabric and leaving the specimen undisturbed for 5 minutes. The grading scale ranges from 0 to 5, with 0 indicating the IPA solution wets the fabric and 5 indicating maximum repellency. This procedure is a modification of INDA Standard Test No. IST 80.9-74 (R-82).

Resistance to Blood Penetration (RBP): The blood strikethrough or resistance to blood penetration of a fabric is a measure of the amount of blood which penetrates the fabric at a particular pressure. The blood strikethrough is performed by weighing a blotter placed next to the fabric before and after the test which consists of applying 1 pound per square inch gauge (psig) pressure to the side of the fabric away from the blotter, which side has blood thereon. The pressure is ramped up over approximately 10 seconds and removed when it reaches 1 psig. The difference in the weight of the blotter before and after the test in grams represents the amount of blood which has penetrated the fabric.

Grab Tensile test: The grab tensile test is a measure of breaking strength and elongation or strain of a fabric when subjected to unidirectional stress. This test is known in

the art and conforms to the specifications of Method 5100 of the Federal Test Methods Standard 191A. The results are expressed in pounds or grams to break and percent stretch before breakage. Higher numbers indicate a stronger, more stretchable fabric. The term "load" means the maximum load or force, expressed in units of weight, required to break or rupture the specimen in a tensile test. The term "total energy" means the total energy under a load versus elongation curve as expressed in weight-length units. The term "elongation" means the increase in length of a specimen during a tensile test. The grab tensile test uses two clamps, each having two jaws with each jaw having a facing in contact with the sample. The clamps hold the material in the same plane, usually vertically, separated by 3 inches (76 mm) and move apart at a specified rate of extension. Values for grab tensile strength and grab elongation are obtained using a sample size of 4 inches (102 mm) by 6 inches (152 mm), with a jaw facing size of 1 inch (25 mm) by 1 inch, and a constant rate of extension of 300 mm/min. The sample is wider than the clamp jaws to give results representative of effective strength of fibers in the clamped width combined with additional strength contributed by adjacent fibers in the fabric. The specimen is clamped in, for example, a Sintech 2 tester, available from the Sintech Corporation, 1001 Sheldon Dr., Cary, NC 27513, an Instron Model TM, available from the Instron Corporation, 2500 Washington St., Canton, MA 02021, or a Thwing-Albert Model INTELLECT II available from the Thwing-Albert Instrument Co., 10960 Dutton Rd., Phila., PA 19154. This closely simulates fabric stress conditions in actual use. Results are reported as an average of three specimens and may be performed with the specimen in the cross direction (CD) or the machine direction (MD).

Antistatic properties were measured according to INDA Standard Test 40.2-92.

Porosity results were obtained by Frazier Porosity tests, ASTM Standard D737 "Air Permeability of Textile Fabrics," also Method 5450 Federal Test Methods Standard No. 191A, except that the specimen size is 8 inches by 8 inches.

Definitions

As used herein and in the claims, the term "comprising" is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional unrecited elements, compositional components, or method steps.

5 As used herein the term "nonwoven fabric or web" means a web having a structure of individual fibers or threads which are interlaid, but not in an identifiable manner as in a knitted fabric. Nonwoven fabrics or webs have been formed from many processes such as for example, meltblowing processes, spunbonding processes, and bonded carded web processes. The basis weight of nonwoven fabrics is usually expressed in ounces of material
10 per square yard (osy) or grams per square meter (gsm) and the fiber diameters useful are usually expressed in microns or an equivalent but more recognized term, micrometers. (Note that to convert from osy to gsm, multiply osy by 33.91). As used herein the term "spunbonded fibers" refers to small diameter fibers which are formed by extruding molten thermoplastic material as filaments from a plurality of fine, usually circular capillaries of a
15 spinneret with the diameter of the extruded filaments then being rapidly reduced as by, for example, in US Patent 4,340,563 to Appel et al., US Patent 3,692,618 to Dorschner et al., US Patent 3,802,817 to Matsuki et al., US Patents 3,338,992 and 3,341,394 to Kinney, US Patent 3,502,763 to Hartman, and US Patent 3,542,615 to Dobo et al. Spunbond fibers are generally not tacky when they are deposited onto a collecting surface. Spunbond fibers are
20 generally continuous and have average diameters (from a sample of at least 10) larger than 7 microns, more particularly, between about 10 and 20 microns. The fibers may also have shapes such as those described in US Patents 5,277,976 to Hogle et al., US Patent 5,466,410 to Hills and 5,069,970 and 5,057,368 to Largman et al., which describe fibers with unconventional shapes.

25 As used herein the term "meltblown fibers" means fibers formed by extruding a molten thermoplastic material through a plurality of fine, usually circular, die capillaries as

molten threads or filaments into converging high velocity, usually hot, gas (e.g. air) streams which attenuate the filaments of molten thermoplastic material to reduce their diameter, which may be to microfiber diameter. Thereafter, the meltblown fibers are carried by the high velocity gas stream and are deposited on a collecting surface to form a web of
5 randomly dispersed meltblown fibers. Such a process is disclosed, for example, in US Patent 3,849,241 to Butin et al. Meltblown fibers are microfibers which may be continuous or discontinuous, are generally smaller than 10 microns in average diameter, and are generally tacky when deposited onto a collecting surface.

As used herein "multilayer laminate" means a laminate wherein some of the layers
10 are spunbond and some meltblown such as a spunbond/meltblown/spunbond (SMS) laminate and others as disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,041,203 to Brock et al., U.S. Patent 5,169,706 to Collier, et al, US Patent 5,145,727 to Potts et al., US Patent 5,178,931 to Perkins et al. and U.S. Patent 5,188,885 to Timmons et al. Such a laminate may be made by sequentially depositing onto a moving forming belt first a spunbond fabric layer, then a
15 meltblown fabric layer and last another spunbond layer and then bonding the laminate in a manner described below. Alternatively, the fabric layers may be made individually, collected in rolls, and combined in a separate bonding step. Such fabrics usually have a basis weight of from about 0.1 to 12 osy (3 to 400 gsm), or more particularly from about 0.75 to about 3 osy. Multilayer laminates may also have various numbers of meltblown layers or multiple
20 spunbond layers in many different configurations and may include other materials like films (F) or coform materials, e.g. SMMS, SM, SFS, etc.

As used herein the term "polymer" generally includes but is not limited to, homopolymers, copolymers, such as for example, block, graft, random and alternating copolymers, terpolymers, etc. and blends and modifications thereof. Furthermore, unless
25 otherwise specifically limited, the term "polymer" shall include all possible geometrical configurations of the molecule. These configurations include, but are not limited to isotactic, syndiotactic and random symmetries.

As used herein the term "conjugate fibers" refers to fibers which have been formed from at least two polymers extruded from separate extruders but spun together to form one fiber. Conjugate fibers are also sometimes referred to as multicomponent or bicomponent fibers. The polymers are usually different from each other though conjugate fibers may be monocomponent fibers. The polymers are arranged in substantially constantly positioned distinct zones across the cross-section of the conjugate fibers and extend continuously along the length of the conjugate fibers. The configuration of such a conjugate fiber may be, for example, a sheath/core arrangement wherein one polymer is surrounded by another or may be a side by side arrangement, a pie arrangement or an "islands-in-the-sea" arrangement. Conjugate fibers are taught in US Patent 5,108,820 to Kaneko et al., US Patent 4,795,668 to Krueger et al., US Patent 5,540,992 to Marcher et al. and US Patent 5,336,552 to Strack et al. Conjugate fibers are also taught in US Patent 5,382,400 to Pike et al. and may be used to produce crimp in the fibers by using the differential rates of expansion and contraction of the two (or more) polymers. Crimped fibers may also be produced by mechanical means and by the process of German Patent DT 25 13 251 A1. For two component fibers, the polymers may be present in ratios of 75/25, 50/50, 25/75 or any other desired ratios. The fibers may also have shapes such as those described in US Patents 5,277,976 to Hogle et al., US Patent 5,466,410 to Hills and 5,069,970 and 5,057,368 to Largman et al., which describe fibers with unconventional shapes. As used herein "thermal point bonding" involves passing a fabric or web of fibers to be bonded between a heated calender roll and an anvil roll. The calender roll is usually, though not always, patterned in some way so that the entire fabric is not bonded across its entire surface, and the anvil roll is usually flat. As a result, various patterns for calender rolls have been developed for functional as well as aesthetic reasons. One example of a pattern has points and is the Hansen Pennings or "H&P" pattern with about a 30% bond area with about 200 bonds/square inch as taught in U.S. Patent 3,855,046 to Hansen and Pennings. The H&P pattern has square point or pin bonding areas wherein each pin has a side dimension

of 0.038 inches (0.965 mm), a spacing of 0.070 inches (1.778 mm) between pins, and a depth of bonding of 0.023 inches (0.584 mm). The resulting pattern has a bonded area of about 29.5%. Another typical point bonding pattern is the expanded Hansen Pennings or "EHP" bond pattern which produces a 15% bond area with a square pin having a side dimension of 0.037 inches (0.94 mm), a pin spacing of 0.097 inches (2.464 mm) and a depth of 0.039 inches (0.991 mm). Another typical point bonding pattern designated "714" has square pin bonding areas wherein each pin has a side dimension of 0.023 inches, a spacing of 0.062 inches (1.575 mm) between pins, and a depth of bonding of 0.033 inches (0.838 mm). The resulting pattern has a bonded area of about 15%. Yet another common pattern is the C-Star pattern which has a bond area of about 16.9%. The C-Star pattern has a cross-directional bar or "corduroy" design interrupted by shooting stars. Other common patterns include a diamond pattern with repeating and slightly offset diamonds with about a 16% bond area and a wire weave pattern looking as the name suggests, e.g. like a window screen, with about a 19% bond area. Typically, the percent bonding area varies from around 10% to around 30% of the area of the fabric laminate web. As is well known in the art, the spot bonding holds the laminate layers together as well as imparts integrity to each individual layer by bonding filaments and/or fibers within each layer.

As used herein, the term "infection control product" means medically oriented items such as surgical gowns and drapes, face masks, head coverings like bouffant caps, surgical caps and hoods, footwear like shoe coverings, boot covers and slippers, wound dressings, bandages, sterilization wraps, wipers, garments like lab coats, coveralls, aprons and jackets, patient bedding, stretcher and bassinet sheets, and the like.

Composition percent amounts herein are expressed by weight unless otherwise indicated.

Turning to the drawings, Fig. 1 shows web 10 traveling from right to left. At saturation spray device 12 a fluorocarbon spray is applied to both sides. Squeeze nip rolls 14 remove excess fluorocarbon and vacuum extractor 16 removes additional treatment

composition as web 10 travels over guide rolls 18. At treatment station 20 antistat is applied to one side only of web 10 by spray device 22 and at a point preferably prior to full curing of the fluorocarbon. Web 10 is then dried by contact with steam cans 24.

Fig. 2 shows a process using a foam applicator to apply the fluorochemical instead of an antistatic spray device 22 as in Fig. 1. For Fig. 2, the system may be the same as Fig. 1 prior to the antistat spray 20 (Fig. 1) and is not shown. In Fig. 2, foam applicator 32 applies fluorocarbon composition as a foam. Excess is removed in the nip 34 between squeeze rolls 36, and web 10 is directed over steam cans 24 for drying as in Fig. 1.

Fig. 3 shows schematically the second inline treatment step applied to web 40 having been previously treated as, for example, using the saturation spray device 12 of Fig. 1. In this embodiment web 40 is unwound from roll 42 and directed around guide roll 44 through printing station 46 including ink jet printhead 48 and web support platen/exhaust hood 50. The web has applied to the surface facing the printhead a light application of the antistat. The web may then be directed by one or more drive rolls 52 and rewound into treated roll 54 or, optionally, otherwise processed.

Fig. 4 shows a third embodiment where the foam applicator 32 is used to apply fluorocarbon to one side of web 10 and spray 22 to apply antistat to the opposite side at steam can 24. Otherwise the process is like that of Fig. 2.

Examples

The present invention is further described by the examples which follow. Such examples, however, are not to be construed as limiting in any way either the spirit or the scope of the present invention.

For those examples using SMS fabric, the general process for forming the fabric and treating it was as follows:

A SMS (spunbond/meltblown/spunbond) laminate was formed all inline as described in

USP 4,041,203 to Brock et al. After forming, the SMS laminate was thermally bonded with a bonding roll resulting in about 15% bond area in a wire weave pattern. The fabric produced had a basis weight of about 1.5 oz/yd² (51 gsm) and was produced at about 760 ft/min. After bonding, the laminate was passed through a saturator where a partially
5 fluorinated acrylic copolymer emulsion from DuPont (identified as Repellent 7700), and Zelec KC, an organic phosphate ester from Stepan Chemical, and a short chain alcohol (octanol) from Aldridge Chemical were applied as a mixture of about 2.85% in a ratio 2.5:0.1:0.25, respectively. The purpose of the fluorine containing compound is to give isopropanol repellency of 70% IPA or better to the finished, dried laminate. The amount in
10 the bath was about 2.15% of the "as delivered" material. The purpose of the organic phosphate ester in this bath is to control the rate at which the fluorine containing material adheres to the fabric. The amount in the bath was about 0.05% of the "as delivered" material. The purpose of the alcohol is to aid in wetting out the laminate completely. As the water is dried off the laminate in a later step, the alcohol is volatilized also. The
15 amount of octanol used was 0.25% of the chemical. After saturation, which results in about 300% wet pickup based on fabric weight, the fabric was run through a squeeze nip, resulting in a reduction in the wet pickup to about 100% and over a dewatering vacuum, apparatus, further reducing the wet pickup to about 40%. Next, additional organic
phosphate ester was applied to one surface only of the fabric via an atomized spray
20 apparatus resulting in an addition of about 0.05%, making the applied side of the fabric rich in phosphate ester antistatic agent on that surface, while the other surface had minimal phosphate ester.

After drying using steam cans, the treated fabric was wound on cardboard cores.

25

30

Example 1

	Attribute	Average	Range	Minimum	Maximum	St Dev.
5	Bloods (RPB) %	1.3	1.76	0.7	2.46	0.585
	Tensile (lbs.)	15.49	5.7	11.9	17.6	1.568
	Hydrohead (mbar)	1.09	30	57	87	7.711
	Porosity (cfm)	37.1	6.5	34.2	40.7	2

10 Samples were tested for static decay and all had results of 0.01 sec. or less.

Control - Single Bath

15	Attribute	Average	Range	Minimum	Maximum	St Dev.
	Bloods (RPB) %	1.25	2.54	0.49	3.03	0.647
	Tensile (lbs.)	15.31	7.31	11.49	18.8	1.672
	Hydrohead (mbar)	64.7	31.5	51.5	83	7.296
20	Porosity (cfm)	38.9	9.5	35.8	45.3	3.28

As shown, compared to the single bath control, hydrohead values are much improved in accordance with the invention.

25

Example 2**Sided Fluorochemical Atomized Spray Treatment****30 Formulation:**

De-ionized Water	474.15g
Repellant 9356H	24.6g
1-octanol	1.25g

35 Procedure:

De-ionized water was used. Repellent 9356H is a fluorinated acrylic copolymer dispersion from DuPont. Its purpose is to give alcohol repellency to the dried fabric. 1-octanol (99%) was used as received from Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc. The purpose

of the octanol is to aid in wetting of the fabric. This will volatilize with the water during the drying procedure. Materials were added in the order shown under vigorous agitation with Ross high shear mixer and allowed to mix for at least 15 minutes to fully solubilize octanol.

5 The nonwoven fabric to be treated was a three-layer laminate having a basis weight of 51 gsm, comprised of spunbond/meltblown/spunbond (SMS) polypropylene at 35%/30%/35% respectively. The spunbond layers were composed of 35 melt flow polypropylene while the meltblown was 800 melt flow polypropylene. The laminate was pattern bonded by the application of heat and pressure in a wireweave pattern as
10 described above.

 The dispersion was applied to one side of the SMS at 100% wet pick-up (%WPU) via a handheld spray gun, model DH7500 from Campbell Hausfeld. %WPU was determined by weighing the sample before and after drying. $\%WPU = 100 \times (\text{Weight Wet} - \text{Weight Dry}) / \text{Weight Dry}$. 100% WPU correlates to approximately 1.0% dry fluorochemical
15 add-on. After spraying, the fabric was run through a squeeze nip at a pressure of ~25 PSI to ensure penetration into the first spunbond layer and dried in a laboratory oven for approximately 3 minutes at 95°C to cure the fluoropolymer and remove moisture.

IPA Repellency Results:

20 Treated Side: 5 @ 80% IPA, 3 @ 90%IPA
 Untreated Side: 5 @ 20% IPA, 0 @ 30%IPA

 The results indicate that the treated side of the fabric displayed significantly higher alcohol
25 repellency. In accordance with the invention the treated side was repellent at IPA of a percentage difference of 60% higher than the untreated side.

Example 3

30 Sided Fluorochemical Foam Treatment

Formulation:

	De-ionized Water	14280g
5	Unidyne S-1042	1680g
	1-octanol	40g

Procedure:

De-ionized water was used. Unidyne S-1042 is an experimental, proprietary
10 fluoroalkyl acrylate copolymer emulsion from Daikin America, Inc. Its purpose is to give
alcohol repellency to the dried fabric. 1-octanol (99%) was used as received from Aldrich
Chemical Company, Inc. The purpose of the octanol is to aid in wetting of the fabric. This
will volatilize with the water during the drying procedure. Materials were added in the
order shown under vigorous agitation with Ross high shear mixer and allowed to mix for at
15 least 15 minutes to fully solubilize octanol.

The nonwoven fabric to be treated was a three-layer laminate as in Example 1
comprised of spunbond/meltblown/spunbond (SMS) polypropylene at 35%/30%/35%
respectively. The spunbond layers were composed of 35 melt flow polypropylene while
the meltblown was 800 melt flow polypropylene. The laminate was pattern bonded by the
20 application of heat and pressure in a wireweave pattern as described above.

The dispersion was foamed onto one side of the SMS via a Gaston CFS-6 Latex
Foam Generator equipped with a parabolic applicator at a wet pick-up level of
approximately 45%. %WPU was determined by weighing the sample before and after
drying. $\%WPU = 100 * (\text{Weight Wet} - \text{Weight Dry}) / \text{Weight Dry}$. 100% WPU correlates to
25 approximately 1.8% dry fluorochemical add-on. The fabric was then run through a
squeeze nip as in Example 1 to ensure penetration into the first spunbond layer and dried
in excess across steam cans to cure the fluoropolymer and remove moisture.

Alcohol repellency was then tested according to test procedure described above.

The results indicate that the treated side of the fabric displayed significantly higher alcohol repellency.

5 IPA Repellency Results:

Treated Side: 5 @ 60% IPA, 3 @ 70%IPA

Untreated Side: 3 @ 30% IPA, 0 @ 40%IPA

In accordance with the invention the treated side was repellent at a percentage of IPA at
10 least 30% higher than the untreated side.

Example 4

15

Sided Fluorochemical Foam Treatment

Formulation:

20 De-ionized Water	14993.6g
Unidyne TG-573	966.4g
1-octanol	40.0g

Procedure:

25 De-ionized water was used. Unidyne TG-573 is a fluoroalkyl acrylate copolymer emulsion from Daikin America, Inc. Its purpose is to give alcohol repellency to the dried fabric. 1-octanol (99%) was used as received from Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc. The purpose of the octanol is to aid in wetting of the fabric. This will volatilize with the water during the drying procedure. Materials were added in the order shown under vigorous
30 agitation with Ross high shear mixer and allowed to mix for at least 15 minutes to fully solubilize octanol.

The nonwoven fabric to be treated was a three-layer laminate as in the previous example comprised of spunbond/meltblown/spunbond (SMS) polypropylene at 35%/30%/35% respectively. The spunbond layers were composed of 35 melt flow

polypropylene while the meltblown was 800 melt flow polypropylene. The laminate was pattern bonded by the application of heat and pressure.

The dispersion was foamed onto one side of the SMS via a Gaston CFS-6 Latex Foam Generator equipped with a parabolic applicator at a wet pick-up level of

5 approximately 35%. %WPU was determined by weighing the sample before and after drying. $\%WPU = 100 \times (\text{Weight Wet} - \text{Weight Dry}) / \text{Weight Dry}$. 35% WPU correlates to approximately 0.7% dry fluorochemical add-on. The fabric was then run through a squeeze nip to ensure penetration into the first spunbond layer and dried in excess across steam cans to cure the fluoropolymer and remove moisture.

10 Alcohol repellency was then tested. The results indicate that the treated side of the fabric displayed significantly higher alcohol repellency.

IPA Repellency Results:

Treated Side: 5 @ 50% IPA
15 Untreated Side: 3 @ 30% IPA, 0 @ 40%IPA

Example 5

20 Sided Fluorochemical Inkjet Treatment

Formulation:

De-ionized Water	150 mL
Unidyne S-1042	5 mL
25 1-octanol	3 mL

Procedure:

De-ionized water was used as obtained from on-site system. Unidyne S-1042 is an experimental, proprietary fluoroalkyl acrylate copolymer emulsion from Daikin America,
30 Inc. Its purpose is to give alcohol repellency to the dried fabric. 1-octanol (99%) was used as received from Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc. The purpose of the octanol is to aid in wetting of the fabric. This will volatilize with the water during the drying procedure.

Materials were added in the order shown under vigorous agitation with Ross high shear mixer and allowed to mix for at least 15 minutes to fully solubilize octanol.

The nonwoven fabric to be treated was a three-layer laminate comprised of spunbond/meltblown/spunbond (SMS) polypropylene at 35%/30%/35% respectively. The spunbond layers were composed of 35 melt flow polypropylene while the meltblown was 800 melt flow polypropylene. The laminate was pattern bonded by the application of heat and pressure using the wireweave pattern described above.

The dispersion was ink-jetted onto one side of the SMS via an 8" wide MARSH LCP/ML8 inkjet system at approximately 50% wet pick-up (WPU). %WPU was determined by weighing the sample before and after drying. $\%WPU = 100 \times (\text{Weight Wet-Weight Dry}) / \text{Weight}$. The swatches of fabric was dried on a flat screen dryer (Model F10, Noble & Wood Lab Mach. Co.).

Alcohol repellency was then tested. In this test, a fabric's resistance to penetration by low surface energy fluids is determined by placing 0.1ml of a specified percentage of isopropyl alcohol (IPA) solution on the surface of the fabric and leaving the specimen undisturbed for 5 minutes. The grading scale ranges from 0 to 5, with 0 indicating the IPA solution wets the fabric and 5 indicating maximum repellency. For purposes of the present invention, a rating of at least 3 is needed to be considered repellent. This procedure is a modification of INDA Standard Test No. IST 80.9-74 (R-82). The results indicate that the treated side of the fabric displayed significantly higher alcohol repellency.

IPA Repellency Results:

Treated Side: 5 @ 60% IPA, 5 @ 70%IPA

Untreated Side: 3 @ 30% IPA, 0 @ 40%IPA

In accordance with the invention the treated side was repellent to an IPA percentage at least 30% higher than the untreated side.

While the invention has been described in detail with respect to specific embodiments thereof, it will be appreciated that those skilled in the art, upon attaining an understanding of the foregoing, may readily conceive of alterations to, variations of, and equivalents to these embodiments. Accordingly, the scope of the present invention should

5 be assessed as that of the appended claims and any equivalents thereto.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A lightweight nonwoven fabric having opposing surfaces, one of which is rich in antistatic agent and one of which has minimal antistatic agent, said fabric also being alcohol
5 repellent.
2. The nonwoven fabric of claim 1 having a basis weight in the range of from about 34 gsm to about 88 gsm.
3. The nonwoven fabric of claim 1 wherein said opposing surfaces have a difference in demonstrated alcohol repellency of at least about 30% when exposed to isopropyl alcohol of
10 varying concentrations.
4. The nonwoven of claim 3 wherein the surface rich in antistat is opposite the surface having higher alcohol repellency.
5. The nonwoven fabric of claim 2 wherein said opposing surfaces have a difference in demonstrated alcohol repellency of at least about 30% when exposed to isopropyl alcohol of
15 varying concentrations.
6. The nonwoven fabric of claim 1 comprising a spunbond layer and a meltblown layer.
7. The nonwoven fabric of claim 6 comprising a meltblown layer between two spunbond layers.
8. The nonwoven fabric of claim 5 comprising a spunbond layer and a meltblown layer.
- 20 9. The nonwoven fabric of claim 6 having a hydrostatic head of at least about 50mB.
10. The nonwoven fabric of claim 7 having a hydrostatic head of at least about 50mB.
11. The nonwoven fabric of claim 8 having a hydrostatic head of at least about 50mB.
12. A process for treating a lightweight nonwoven fabric having opposing first and second surfaces, one of which is rich in antistatic agent and one of which has minimal
25 antistatic agent, said fabric having good barrier properties as measured by alcohol repellency, comprising the steps of:

applying an alcohol repellency treatment to a first or both of said surfaces, and in a separate step, applying an antistatic treatment to the second surface only of said fabric.

13. The process of claim 11 wherein the step of applying an antistatic treatment occurs prior to fully curing the alcohol repellency treatment.

5




FIG. 1




FIG. 2





FIG. 3



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 02/11102

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 D06M23/16 D06M15/277 D06M13/292 B32B5/26 A61B19/08
 A41D13/008 A41D13/12

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 D06M B32B A61B A41D D06N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 149 576 A (POTTS DAVID C ET AL) 22 September 1992 (1992-09-22) example	1-11
X	US 5 178 932 A (PERKINS CHERYL A ET AL) 12 January 1993 (1993-01-12) column 11, line 33 - line 68 example	1,2,4,6, 7,9,10, 12,13
X	EP 0 255 209 A (CHICOPEE) 3 February 1988 (1988-02-03) page 3, line 39 - line 55	1,3
A	EP 0 860 530 A (INDUTEX S R L) 26 August 1998 (1998-08-26) column 2, line 20 - line 25 -/-	1-13



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

& document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

28 November 2002

Date of mailing of the international search report

05/12/2002

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Fiocco, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 02/11102

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 151 321 A (DUELLO LEONARD E ET AL) 29 September 1992 (1992-09-29) column 5, line 30 - line 40 -----	1-13

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 02/11102

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5149576	A	22-09-1992	US 5178931 A	12-01-1993
US 5178932	A	12-01-1993	US 5145727 A	08-09-1992
			CA 2081940 A1	18-12-1993
EP 0255209	A	03-02-1988	AU 593653 B2	15-02-1990
			AU 7366287 A	03-12-1987
			BR 8702778 A	01-03-1988
			CA 1263064 A1	21-11-1989
			DE 3783677 D1	04-03-1993
			DE 3783677 T2	27-05-1993
			DK 278187 A	01-12-1987
			EP 0255209 A2	03-02-1988
			ES 2039438 T3	01-10-1993
			FI 872402 A	01-12-1987
			HK 99493 A	30-09-1993
			IE 60372 B1	13-07-1994
			IN 168576 A1	04-05-1991
			JP 2543365 B2	16-10-1996
			JP 63051857 A	04-03-1988
			KR 9408079 B1	01-09-1994
			MX 169496 B	08-07-1993
			NO 872264 A	01-12-1987
			NZ 220354 A	29-01-1990
			PH 27212 A	04-05-1993
			US 5643237 A	01-07-1997
			ZA 8703897 A	25-01-1989
EP 0860530	A	26-08-1998	IT MI970356 A1	19-08-1998
			EP 0860530 A2	26-08-1998
US 5151321	A	29-09-1992	NONE	

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)